

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Indran Naick, et al.	§	Group Art Unit:	2143
	§		
Serial No.: 10/713,736	§	Examiner:	Jude J. Jean-Gilles
	§		
Date Filed: November 13, 2003	§	Confirmation No.:	2704
	§		
Title: Optional Receipt of an Email	§	IBM Docket No.:	AUS920030776US1
Attachment	§	Atty. Docket No.:	(4015)

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLACEMENT RESPONSE FOR RESPONSE FILED ON JANUARY 2, 2009
TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION MAILED OCTOBER 2, 2008

This paper is responsive to the Non-Final Office Action mailed October 2, 2008, having a shortened-statutory period for response set to expire on January 2, 2009. A response was filed on January 2, 2009, but this paper is a replacement to that response. Therefore, please disregard the response filed on January 2, 2009, and examine this replacement response instead. The claim amendments herein are based on ignoring the response filed January 2, 2009. The primary difference between the two responses are that the first one has whereby clauses in the independent claims and this one does not; instead, the independent claims have an additional wherein clause. A 1-month extension fee is submitted herewith for this replacement response filed today.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 7.

Conclusion is on page 10.